Sinclair Broadcasting should not be allowed to air a political "movie" so close to the election. This is a subversion of first amendment rights. Even Schwartzenegger's movie was held off until after the California elections and that did not involve use of public airwaves.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.